

The Second Variation of the Ricci Expander Entropy

Meng Zhu*

1 Introduction

In [10], Perelman discovered two important functionals, the $\mathcal{F}$ -functional and the $\mathcal{W}$ functional. The corresponding entropy functionals λ and ν are monotone along the Ricci flow $\frac{\partial g_{ij}}{\partial t} = -2R_{ij}$ and constant precisely on steady and shrinking solitons. In [2], H.-D. Cao, R. Hamilton and T. Ilmanen presented the second variations of both entropy functionals and studied the linear stabilities of certain closed Einstein manifolds of nonnegative scalar curvature.

To find the corresponding variational structure for the expanding case, M. Feldman, T. Ilmanen and L. Ni [9] introduced the $\mathcal{W}_+$ functional. Let (M^n, g) be a compact Riemannian manifold, f a smooth function on M , and $\sigma > 0$. Define

$$\mathcal{W}_+(g, f, \sigma) = (4\pi\sigma)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \int_M e^{-f} [\sigma(|\nabla f|^2 + R) - f + n] dV,$$

$$\mu_+(g, \sigma) = \inf \{ \mathcal{W}_+(g, f, \sigma) \mid f \in C^\infty(M), \text{ and } (4\pi\sigma)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \int_M e^{-f} dV = 1 \},$$

and

$$\nu_+(g) = \sup_{\sigma > 0} \mu_+(g, \sigma).$$

Then ν_+ is nondecreasing along the Ricci flow and constant precisely on expanding solitons.

*Research is partially supported by NSF grant DMS-0354621.

In this note, analogous to [2], we present the first and second variations of the entropy ν_+ . By computing the first variation of ν_+ , one can see that the critical points are expanding solitons, which are actually negative Einstein manifolds (see e.g. [3]). Our main result is the following

Theorem 1. *Let (M^n, g) be a compact negative Einstein manifold. Let h be a symmetric 2-tensor. Consider the variation of metric $g(s) = g + sh$. Then the second variation of ν_+ is*

$$\frac{d^2\nu_+(g(s))}{ds^2}\bigg|_{s=0} = \frac{\sigma}{Vol(g)} \int_M \langle N_+h, h \rangle,$$

where

$$N_+h := \frac{1}{2}\Delta h + \operatorname{div}^*\operatorname{div}h + \frac{1}{2}\nabla^2 v_h + Rm(h, \cdot) + \frac{g}{2n\sigma\operatorname{vol}(g)} \int_M \operatorname{tr}h,$$

and v_h is the unique solution of

$$\Delta v_h - \frac{v_h}{2\sigma} = \operatorname{div}(\operatorname{div}h), \quad \int_M v_h = 0.$$

In this case, we may still define the concept of linear stability. We say that an expanding soliton is *linearly stable* if $N_+ \leq 0$, otherwise it is *linearly unstable*. Similar to [2], the N_+ operator is nonpositive definite if and only if the maximal eigenvalue of the Lichnerowicz Laplacian acting on the space of transverse traceless 2-tensors has certain upper bound. Then using the results in [4] and [5], one can see that compact hyperbolic spaces are linearly stable. But unlike the positive Einstein case, it seems hard to find other examples of negative Einstein manifolds which are either linear stable or linear unstable.

Acknowledgement The author would like to thank Professor Huai-Dong Cao for his encouragement and suggestions.

2 The First Variation of the Expander Entropy

Recall that in [10], the $\mathcal{F}$ functional is defined by

$$\mathcal{F}(f, g) = \int_M (|\nabla f|^2 + R)e^{-f} dV,$$

and its entropy $\lambda(g)$ is

$$\lambda(g) = \inf\{\mathcal{F}(f, g) : f \in C^\infty(M), \int_M e^{-f} = 1\},$$

where R is the scalar curvature. By Theorem 1.7 in [9], we know that $\mu_+(g, \sigma)$ is attained by some function f . Moreover, if $\lambda(g) < 0$, then $\nu_+(g)$ can be attained by some positive number σ .

Lemma 1. *Assume that $\nu_+(g)$ is realized by some f and σ , then it is necessary that the pair (f, σ) solves the following equations,*

$$\sigma(-2\Delta f + |\nabla f|^2 - R) + f - n + \nu_+ = 0, \quad (1)$$

and

$$(4\pi\sigma)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \int_M f e^{-f} dV = \frac{n}{2} - \nu_+. \quad (2)$$

Proof: For fixed $\sigma > 0$, suppose that $\mu_+(g, \sigma)$ is attained by some function f . Using Lagrange multiplier method, consider the following functional

$$L(g, f, \sigma, \lambda) = (4\pi\sigma)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \int_M e^{-f} [\sigma(|\nabla f|^2 + R) - f + n] dV - \lambda((4\pi\sigma)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \int_M e^{-f} dV - 1).$$

Denote by δf the variation of f . Then the variation of L is

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = \delta L &= (4\pi\sigma)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \int_M e^{-f} \{(-\delta f)[\sigma(|\nabla f|^2 + R) - f + n] + [2\nabla f \nabla(\delta f) - \delta f]\} dV \\ &\quad - (4\pi\sigma)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \int_M \lambda(\delta f) e^{-f} dV \\ &= (4\pi\sigma)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \int_M e^{-f} (\delta f) [\sigma(-2\Delta f + |\nabla f|^2 - R) + f - n - 1 - \lambda] dV \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\sigma(-2\Delta f + |\nabla f|^2 - R) + f - n - 1 - \lambda = 0.$$

Integrating both sides with respect to the measure $(4\pi\sigma)^{-\frac{n}{2}} e^{-f} dV$, we get

$$-\lambda - 1 = (4\pi\sigma)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \int_M e^{-f} [\sigma(|\nabla f|^2 + R) - f + n] dV = \mu_+(g, \sigma).$$

When σ and f realize $\nu_+(g)$, the above formula is just equation (1).

Now we consider the variations $\delta\sigma$ and δf of both σ and f . We have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (4\pi\sigma)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \int_M e^{-f} \left(-\frac{n}{2\sigma} \delta\sigma - \delta f \right) [\sigma(|\nabla f|^2 + R) - f + n] dV \\ &\quad + (4\pi\sigma)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \int_M e^{-f} [\delta\sigma(|\nabla f|^2 + R) + 2\nabla f \nabla(\delta f) - \delta f] dV \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

and

$$(4\pi\sigma)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \int_M e^{-f} \left(-\frac{n}{2\sigma} \delta\sigma - \delta f \right) dV = 0. \quad (4)$$

Using (1) and (4), we can write (3) as

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (4\pi\sigma)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \int_M e^{-f} [\delta\sigma(|\nabla f|^2 + R) - \delta f] dV \\ &= (4\pi\sigma)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \int_M e^{-f} \left[\frac{1}{\sigma} \delta\sigma(\nu_+ + f - n) + \frac{n}{2\sigma} \delta\sigma \right] dV \\ &= (\delta\sigma) \frac{1}{\sigma} (4\pi\sigma)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \int_M e^{-f} \left(\nu_+ + f - \frac{n}{2} \right) dV \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we get equation (2).

Q.E.D.

Before computing the variations of ν_+ functional, let's recall some variation formulas of curvatures. By direct computation, we can get the following lemma

Lemma 2. *Suppose that h is a symmetric 2-tensor, and $g(s) = g + sh$ is a variation of g . Then*

$$\frac{\partial R}{\partial s} \Big|_{s=0} = -h_{kl} R_{kl} + \nabla_p \nabla_k h_{pk} - \Delta \text{tr} h, \quad (5)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial^2 R}{\partial s^2}|_{s=0} &= 2h_{kp}h_{pl}R_{kl} - 2h_{kl}\frac{\partial R_{kl}}{\partial s}|_{s=0} + g^{kl}\frac{\partial^2 R_{kl}}{\partial s^2}|_{s=0} \\
&= 2h_{kp}h_{pl}R_{kl} - h_{kl}(2\nabla_p\nabla_k h_{pl} - \Delta h_{kl} - \nabla_k\nabla_l \text{tr} h) \\
&\quad - \nabla_p[h_{pq}(2\nabla_k h_{kq} - \nabla_q \text{tr} h)] + \nabla_k(h_{pq}\nabla_k h_{pq}) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2}\nabla_p \text{tr} h(2\nabla_k h_{kp} - \nabla_p \text{tr} h) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2}(\nabla_k h_{pq}\nabla_k h_{pq} - 2\nabla_p h_{kq}\nabla_q h_{kp})
\end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

Here, ∇ is the Levi-Civita connection of g , and $\text{tr} h$ is the trace of h taken with respect to g .

Now we are ready to compute the first variation of $\nu_+(g)$.

Proposition 1. *Let (M^n, g) be a compact Riemannian manifold with $\lambda(g) < 0$. Let h be any symmetric covariant 2-tensor on M , and consider the variation $g(s) = g + sh$. Then the first variation of $\nu_+(g(s))$ is*

$$\frac{d\nu_+(g(s))}{ds}|_{s=0} = (4\pi\sigma)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \int_M \sigma e^{-f} (-R_{ij} - \nabla_i \nabla_j f - \frac{1}{2\sigma} g_{ij}) h_{ij} dV,$$

where the smooth function f and $\sigma > 0$ realize $\nu_+(g)$.

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial \nu_+}{\partial s} &= (4\pi\sigma)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \int_M e^{-f} \left(-\frac{n}{2\sigma} \frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial s} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial s} + \frac{1}{2} g^{ij} h_{ij} \right) [\sigma(|\nabla f|^2 + R) - f + n] dV \\
&\quad + (4\pi\sigma)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \int_M e^{-f} \frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial s} (|\nabla f|^2 + R) dV \\
&\quad + (4\pi\sigma)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \int_M e^{-f} \left[\sigma (-g^{ip} g^{jq} h_{pq} \nabla_i f \nabla_j f + 2g^{ij} \nabla_i f \nabla_j \frac{\partial f}{\partial s} + \frac{\partial R}{\partial s}) - \frac{\partial f}{\partial s} \right] dV.
\end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

From

$$(4\pi\sigma)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \int_M e^{-f} dV = 1,$$

we have

$$(4\pi\sigma)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \int_M \left(-\frac{n}{2\sigma} \frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial s} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial s} + \frac{1}{2} g^{ij} h_{ij} \right) e^{-f} dV = 0. \tag{8}$$

Substituting (1), (2) and (8) in (7), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial \nu_+(s)}{\partial s} \Big|_{s=0} &= (4\pi\sigma)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \int_M [2\sigma(|\nabla f|^2 - \Delta f) + \nu_+(0)] \left(-\frac{n}{2\sigma} \frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial s} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial s} + \frac{1}{2} g^{ij} h_{ij}\right) e^{-f} dV \\
&\quad + (4\pi\sigma)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \int_M \left[\frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial s} (|\nabla f|^2 + R) - \frac{\partial f}{\partial s} + \sigma(-h_{ij} \nabla_i f \nabla_j f + 2 \frac{\partial f}{\partial s} (|\nabla f|^2 - \Delta f) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \nabla_i \nabla_j h_{ij} - \Delta \text{tr} h - h_{ij} R_{ij})\right] e^{-f} dV \\
&= (4\pi\sigma)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \int_M \left[\frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial s} (|\nabla f|^2 + R) - \frac{\partial f}{\partial s} - \sigma(h_{ij} \nabla_i \nabla_j f + h_{ij} R_{ij})\right] e^{-f} dV \\
&= (4\pi\sigma)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \int_M \left[\frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial s} (|\nabla f|^2 + R) + \frac{n}{2\sigma} \frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial s} - \sigma h_{ij} (R_{ij} + \nabla_i \nabla_j f + \frac{1}{2\sigma} g_{ij})\right] e^{-f} dV \\
&= (4\pi\sigma)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \int_M \left[\frac{1}{\sigma} \frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial s} [f(0) - \frac{n}{2} + \nu_+(0) - 2\sigma(|\nabla f|^2 - \Delta f)] e^{-f} \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \sigma h_{ij} (R_{ij} + \nabla_i \nabla_j f + \frac{1}{2\sigma} g_{ij})\right] e^{-f} dV \\
&= (4\pi\sigma)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \int_M \left[-\sigma h_{ij} (R_{ij} + \nabla_i \nabla_j f + \frac{1}{2\sigma} g_{ij})\right] e^{-f} dV.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, the first variation of ν_+ is

$$\frac{d\nu_+(g(s))}{ds} \Big|_{s=0} = (4\pi\sigma)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \int_M \sigma e^{-f} (-R_{ij} - \nabla_i \nabla_j f - \frac{1}{2\sigma} g_{ij}) h_{ij} dV.$$

Q.E.D.

From the above proposition, we can see that a critical point of $\nu_+(g)$ satisfies

$$Rc + \nabla^2 f + \frac{1}{2\sigma} g = 0,$$

which means that (M, g) is a gradient expanding soliton.

3 The Second Variation

Now we compute the second variation of ν_+ . Since any compact expanding soliton is Einstein (e.g. see [3]), it implies that f is a constant. After adding f by a

constant we may assume that $f = \frac{n}{2}$.

In the following, as in [2], we denote $Rm(h, h) = R_{ijkl}h_{ik}h_{jl}$, $\text{div}\omega = \nabla_i\omega_i$, $(\text{div}h)_i = \nabla_j h_{ji}$, $(\text{div}^*\omega)_{ij} = -(\nabla_i\omega_j + \nabla_j\omega_i) = -\frac{1}{2}L_{\omega^\#}g_{ij}$, where h is a symmetric 2-tensor, ω is a 1-tensor, $\omega^\#$ is the dual vector field of ω , and $L_{\omega^\#}$ is the Lie derivative.

Proof of Theorem 1: Let (M, g) be a compact negative Einstein manifold with $f = \frac{n}{2}$ and $R_{ij} = -\frac{1}{2\sigma}g_{ij}$. For any symmetric 2-tensor h , consider the variation $g(s) = g + sh$. Then by proposition 1, we know that $\frac{d\nu_+}{ds}|_{s=0} = 0$.

From (1) and (2), we can get

$$\frac{n}{2\sigma} \frac{\partial\sigma}{\partial s}(0) - 2\sigma\Delta \frac{\partial f}{\partial s}(0) - \sigma \frac{\partial R}{\partial s}(0) + \frac{\partial f}{\partial s}(0) = 0, \quad (9)$$

and

$$(4\pi\sigma)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \int_M \frac{n}{2} e^{-\frac{n}{2}} \left(-\frac{n}{2\sigma} \frac{\partial\sigma}{\partial s}(0) - \frac{\partial f}{\partial s}(0) + \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}_g h \right) dV + (4\pi\sigma)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \int_M \frac{\partial f}{\partial s}(0) e^{-\frac{n}{2}} dV = 0.$$

It follows by (8) that

$$(4\pi\sigma)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \int_M \frac{\partial f}{\partial s}(0) e^{-\frac{n}{2}} dV = 0, \quad (10)$$

and

$$\frac{n}{2\sigma} \frac{\partial\sigma}{\partial s}(0) = \frac{1}{\text{Vol}(g)} \int_M \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} h dV, \quad (11)$$

where $(4\pi\sigma)^{-\frac{n}{2}} e^{-\frac{n}{2}} = \frac{1}{\text{Vol}(g)}$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d\nu_+}{ds} &= (4\pi\sigma)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \int_M e^{-f} \left(-\frac{n}{2\sigma} \frac{\partial\sigma}{\partial s} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial s} + \frac{1}{2} g^{ij} h_{ij} \right) [\sigma(|\nabla f|^2 + R) - f + n] dV \\
&\quad + (4\pi\sigma)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \int_M e^{-f} \left[\frac{\partial\sigma}{\partial s} (|\nabla f|^2 + R) + \sigma(-g^{ip} g^{jq} h_{pq} \nabla_i f \nabla_j f + 2g^{ij} \nabla_i f \nabla_j \frac{\partial f}{\partial s} + \frac{\partial R}{\partial s}) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \frac{\partial f}{\partial s} \right] dV \\
&= (4\pi\sigma)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \int_M e^{-f} \left(-\frac{n}{2\sigma} \frac{\partial\sigma}{\partial s} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial s} + \frac{1}{2} g^{ij} h_{ij} \right) [2\sigma(|\nabla f|^2 - \Delta f) + \nu_+] dV \\
&\quad + (4\pi\sigma)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \int_M e^{-f} \left[\frac{\partial\sigma}{\partial s} (|\nabla f|^2 + R) + \sigma(-g^{ip} g^{jq} h_{pq} \nabla_i f \nabla_j f + 2g^{ij} \nabla_i f \nabla_j \frac{\partial f}{\partial s} + \frac{\partial R}{\partial s}) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \frac{\partial f}{\partial s} \right] dV \\
&= (4\pi\sigma)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \int_M \sigma e^{-f} g^{ij} h_{ij} (|\nabla f|^2 - \Delta f) dV \\
&\quad + (4\pi\sigma)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \int_M e^{-f} \left[\sigma(-g^{ip} g^{jq} h_{pq} \nabla_i f \nabla_j f + \frac{\partial R}{\partial s}) - \frac{1}{2} g^{ij} h_{ij} \right] dV,
\end{aligned}$$

where we note that

$$(4\pi\sigma)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \int_M e^{-f} \cdot 2\sigma g^{ij} \nabla_i f \nabla_j \frac{\partial f}{\partial s} dV = (4\pi\sigma)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \int_M e^{-f} \cdot 2\sigma \frac{\partial f}{\partial s} (|\nabla f|^2 - \Delta f) dV,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&(4\pi\sigma)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \int_M e^{-f} \left[\frac{\partial\sigma}{\partial s} (|\nabla f|^2 + R) - \frac{\partial f}{\partial s} \right] dV \\
&= (4\pi\sigma)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \int_M e^{-f} \left[\frac{\partial\sigma}{\partial s} (|\nabla f|^2 + R) + \frac{n}{2\sigma} \frac{\partial\sigma}{\partial s} - \frac{1}{2} g^{ij} h_{ij} \right] dV \\
&= (4\pi\sigma)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \int_M e^{-f} \frac{1}{\sigma} \frac{\partial\sigma}{\partial s} [\sigma(|\nabla f|^2 + R) + \frac{n}{2}] dV - (4\pi\sigma)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \int_M e^{-f} \cdot \frac{1}{2} g^{ij} h_{ij} dV \\
&= (4\pi\sigma)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \int_M e^{-f} \frac{1}{\sigma} \frac{\partial\sigma}{\partial s} [\sigma(2|\nabla f|^2 - 2\Delta f) + f - \frac{n}{2} + \nu_+] dV - (4\pi\sigma)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \int_M e^{-f} \cdot \frac{1}{2} g^{ij} h_{ij} dV \\
&= -(4\pi\sigma)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \int_M e^{-f} \cdot \frac{1}{2} g^{ij} h_{ij} dV.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $f(0) = \frac{n}{2}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d^2\nu_+}{ds^2}|_{s=0} &= -\frac{1}{Vol(g)} \int_M \sigma tr h \Delta \frac{\partial f}{\partial s} dV \\
&+ \frac{1}{Vol(g)} \int_M \left(-\frac{n}{2\sigma} \frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial s} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial s} + \frac{1}{2} tr h \right) \left(\sigma \frac{\partial R}{\partial s} - \frac{1}{2} tr h \right) dV \\
&+ \frac{1}{Vol(g)} \int_M \left(\frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial s} \frac{\partial R}{\partial s} + \sigma \frac{\partial^2 R}{\partial s^2} + \frac{1}{2} |h_{ij}|^2 \right) dV.
\end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

In the following, all quantities are evaluated at $s = 0$.

Firstly, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{Vol(g)} \int_M \sigma \frac{\partial^2 R}{\partial s^2} dV &= \frac{\sigma}{Vol(g)} \int_M \left[-\frac{1}{\sigma} |h_{ij}|^2 - h_{kl} (2\nabla_p \nabla_k h_{pl} - \Delta h_{kl} - \nabla_k \nabla_l tr h) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \nabla_p [h_{pq} (2\nabla_k h_{kq} - \nabla_q tr h)] + \nabla_k (h_{pq} \nabla_k h_{pq}) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{1}{2} \nabla_p tr h (2\nabla_k h_{kp} - \nabla_p tr h) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{1}{2} (\nabla_k h_{pq} \nabla_k h_{pq} - 2\nabla_p h_{kq} \nabla_q h_{kp}) \right] dV \\
&= \frac{\sigma}{Vol(g)} \int_M \left[-\frac{1}{\sigma} |h_{ij}|^2 - h_{kl} \nabla_p \nabla_k h_{pl} - \frac{1}{2} |\nabla h|^2 - \frac{1}{2} |\nabla tr h|^2 \right] dV \\
&= \frac{\sigma}{Vol(g)} \int_M \left[-\frac{1}{\sigma} |h_{ij}|^2 - h_{kl} (\nabla_k \nabla_p h_{pl} + R_{kq} h_{ql} + R_{pkql} h_{pq}) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \frac{1}{2} |\nabla h|^2 - \frac{1}{2} |\nabla tr h|^2 \right] dV \\
&= -\frac{1}{Vol(g)} \int_M \frac{1}{2} |h_{ij}|^2 dV \\
&\quad + \frac{\sigma}{Vol(g)} \int_M |\operatorname{div} h|^2 + Rm(h, h) - \frac{1}{2} |\nabla h|^2 - \frac{1}{2} |\nabla tr h|^2 dV.
\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{Vol(g)} \int_M \frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial s} \frac{\partial R}{\partial s} dV &= \frac{\sigma}{n Vol(g)} \int_M tr h dV \frac{1}{Vol(g)} \int_M \frac{\partial R}{\partial s} dV \\
&= \frac{1}{2n} \left(\frac{1}{Vol(g)} \int_M tr h dV \right)^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

Let v_h be the solution to the following equation,

$$\Delta v_h - \frac{v_h}{2\sigma} = \text{divdiv}h = \nabla_p \nabla_q h_{pq}, \quad \int_M v_h = 0.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\text{Vol}(g)} \int_M \left(-\frac{n}{2\sigma} \frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial s} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial s} + \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}h \right) \sigma \frac{\partial R}{\partial s} dV \\ = & \frac{\sigma}{\text{Vol}(g)} \int_M \left(-\frac{n}{2\sigma} \frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial s} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial s} + \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}h \right) \left(\Delta v_h - \frac{v_h}{2\sigma} + \frac{1}{2\sigma} \text{tr}h - \Delta \text{tr}h \right) dV \\ = & - \left(\frac{1}{\text{Vol}(g)} \int_M \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}h dV \right)^2 + \frac{\sigma}{\text{Vol}(g)} \int_M v_h \left(-\Delta \frac{\partial f}{\partial s} + \frac{1}{2\sigma} \frac{\partial f}{\partial s} \right) dV \\ & + \frac{\sigma}{\text{Vol}(g)} \int_M \text{tr}h \left(\Delta \frac{\partial f}{\partial s} - \frac{1}{2\sigma} \frac{\partial f}{\partial s} \right) dV + \frac{\sigma}{\text{Vol}(g)} \int_M \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}h \left(\Delta v_h - \frac{v_h}{2\sigma} + \frac{1}{2\sigma} \text{tr}h - \Delta \text{tr}h \right) dV, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used (11) to derive the first term in the last equality.

Meanwhile,

$$-\frac{1}{\text{Vol}(g)} \int_M \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}h \left(-\frac{n}{2\sigma} \frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial s} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial s} + \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}h \right) = -\frac{1}{\text{Vol}(g)} \int_M \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}h \left(-2\sigma \Delta \frac{\partial f}{\partial s} - \sigma \frac{\partial R}{\partial s} + \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}h \right).$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\text{Vol}(g)} \int_M \left(-\frac{n}{2\sigma} \frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial s} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial s} + \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}h \right) \left(\sigma \frac{\partial R}{\partial s} - \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}h \right) dV \\ = & \frac{1}{\text{Vol}(g)} \int_M \sigma \text{tr}h \Delta \frac{\partial f}{\partial s} dV - \frac{1}{\text{Vol}(g)} \int_M \frac{1}{4} (\text{tr}h)^2 dV - \left(\frac{1}{\text{Vol}(g)} \int_M \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}h dV \right)^2 \\ & + \frac{\sigma}{\text{Vol}(g)} \int_M v_h \left(-\Delta \frac{\partial f}{\partial s} + \frac{1}{2\sigma} \frac{\partial f}{\partial s} \right) dV + \frac{\sigma}{\text{Vol}(g)} \int_M \text{tr}h \left(\Delta \frac{\partial f}{\partial s} - \frac{1}{2\sigma} \frac{\partial f}{\partial s} \right) dV \\ & + \frac{\sigma}{\text{Vol}(g)} \int_M \text{tr}h \left(\Delta v_h - \frac{v_h}{2\sigma} + \frac{1}{2\sigma} \text{tr}h - \Delta \text{tr}h \right) dV. \end{aligned}$$

Now since

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\sigma}{Vol(g)} \int_M v_h \left(-\Delta \frac{\partial f}{\partial s} + \frac{1}{2\sigma} \frac{\partial f}{\partial s} \right) dV &= \frac{\sigma}{Vol(g)} \int_M v_h \left(-\frac{n}{4\sigma^2} \frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial s} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial R}{\partial s} \right) dV \\
&= \frac{\sigma}{Vol(g)} \int_M \frac{1}{2} v_h \left(\Delta v_h - \frac{v_h}{2\sigma} + \frac{1}{2\sigma} tr h - \Delta tr h \right) dV \\
&= \frac{\sigma}{Vol(g)} \int_M -\frac{1}{2} |\nabla v_h|^2 - \frac{v_h^2}{4\sigma} + \frac{v_h}{4\sigma} tr h - \frac{1}{2} v_h \Delta tr h dV,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\sigma}{Vol(g)} \int_M tr h \left(\Delta \frac{\partial f}{\partial s} - \frac{1}{2\sigma} \frac{\partial f}{\partial s} \right) dV &= \frac{\sigma}{Vol(g)} \int_M tr h \left(\frac{n}{4\sigma^2} \frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial s} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial R}{\partial s} \right) dV \\
&= \left(\frac{1}{Vol(g)} \int_M \frac{1}{2} tr h dV \right)^2 \\
&\quad - \frac{\sigma}{Vol(g)} \int_M \frac{1}{2} tr h \left(\Delta v_h - \frac{v_h}{2\sigma} + \frac{1}{2\sigma} tr h - \Delta tr h \right) dV,
\end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{Vol(g)} \int_M \left(-\frac{n}{2\sigma} \frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial s} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial s} + \frac{1}{2} tr h \right) \left(\sigma \frac{\partial R}{\partial s} - \frac{1}{2} tr h \right) dV \\
&= \frac{1}{Vol(g)} \int_M \sigma tr h \Delta \frac{\partial f}{\partial s} dV + \frac{\sigma}{Vol(g)} \int_M -\frac{1}{2} |\nabla v_h|^2 - \frac{v_h^2}{4\sigma} + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla tr h|^2 dV. \tag{15}
\end{aligned}$$

Substituting (13), (14) and (15) in (12), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d^2 \nu_+}{ds^2} |_{s=0} &= \frac{\sigma}{Vol(g)} \left(\int_M |\operatorname{div} h|^2 + Rm(h, h) - \frac{1}{2} |\nabla h|^2 - \frac{1}{2} |\nabla v_h|^2 - \frac{v_h^2}{4\sigma} dV \right) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2n} \left(\frac{1}{Vol(g)} \int_M tr h dV \right)^2 \\
&= \frac{\sigma}{Vol(g)} \int_M \langle N_+ h, h \rangle. \tag{Q.E.D.}
\end{aligned}$$

As a simple application, we may briefly discuss the linear stability of negative Einstein manifolds. Analogue to [2], we say that a negative Einstein manifold is

linearly stable if $N_+ \leq 0$, otherwise it is linearly unstable. As in [2], decompose the space of symmetric 2-tensors as

$$\ker \operatorname{div} \oplus \operatorname{im} \operatorname{div}^*,$$

and further decompose $\ker \operatorname{div}$ as

$$(\ker \operatorname{div})_0 \oplus \mathbb{R}g,$$

where $(\ker \operatorname{div})_0$ is the space of divergence free 2-tensors h with $\int_M \operatorname{tr} h = 0$. It is easy to see that N_+ vanishes on $\operatorname{im} \operatorname{div}^*$, and on $(\ker \operatorname{div})_0$

$$N_+ = \frac{1}{2}(\Delta_L - \frac{1}{\sigma}),$$

where $\Delta_L = \Delta + 2Rm(\cdot, \cdot) - 2Rc$ is the Lichnerowicz Laplacian on symmetric 2-tensors.

Moreover, we may write $(\ker \operatorname{div})_0$ as

$$(\ker \operatorname{div})_0 = S_0 \oplus S_1,$$

where S_0 is the subspace of trace free 2-tensors, and $S_1 = \{h \in (\ker \operatorname{div})_0 : h_{ij} = (-\frac{1}{2\sigma}u + \Delta u)g_{ij} - \nabla_i \nabla_j u, u \in C^\infty(M) \text{ and } \int_M u = 0\}$ (see e.g. [1]).

Define

$$Tu := (-\frac{1}{2\sigma}u + \Delta u)g_{ij} - \nabla_i \nabla_j u.$$

Since $\Delta_L(Tu) = T(\Delta u)$ for all smooth functions u and $\ker T = \{0\}$, we can see that the Lichnerowicz Laplacian and the Laplacian on function space have the same eigenvalues. Thus N_+ is always negative on S_1 . Therefore, to study the linear stability of negative Einstein manifolds, it remains to look at the behavior of Δ_L acting on S_0 which is the space of transverse traceless 2-tensors.

Example Suppose that M is an n dimensional compact real hyperbolic space with $n \geq 3$. By [4] or [8], the biggest eigenvalue of Δ_L on trace free symmetric 2-tensors on real hyperbolic space is $-\frac{(n-1)(n-9)}{4}$. Since on M we have $Rc = -(n-1)g$, $\frac{1}{\sigma} = 2(n-1)$. Thus the biggest eigenvalue of N_+ on S_0 is not greater than $-\frac{(n-1)^2}{8}$. It implies that M is linearly stable for $n \geq 3$.

Remark When $n = 3$, D. Knopf and A. Young ([7]) proved that closed 3-folds with constant negative curvature are geometrically stable under certain normalized Ricci flow. R. Ye obtained a more powerful stability result earlier in [12].

Remark For $n=2$, R. Hamilton([6]) proved that when the average scalar curvature is negative, the solution of the normalized Ricci flow with any initial metric converges to a metric with constant negative curvature. In particular, they are linearly stable. On the other hand, in [5] we see that the biggest eigenvalue of the Lichnerowicz Laplacian on trace free symmetric 2-tensors is 2. Thus N_+ is nonpositive definite on $(\ker \operatorname{div})_0$, which also implies the linear stability.

Remark For noncompact case, in [11], V. Suneeta proved certain geometric stability of $\mathbb{H}^n$ using different methods.

References

- [1] C. Buzzanca, *The Lichnerowicz Laplacian on tensors*(Italian), Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. B3 (1984) 531-541
- [2] H.D. Cao, R. Hamilton and T. Ilmanen, *Gaussian densities and stability for some Ricci solitons*, arXiv:math/0404165v1 [math.DG], 2004
- [3] H.D. Cao and X.P. Zhu, *A complete proof of the Poincaré and geometrization conjectures—application of the Hamilton-Perelman theory of the Ricci flow*, Asian J. Math. 10 (2006), no. 2, 165-492
- [4] E. Delay, *Essential spectrum of the lichnerowicz laplacian on two tensor on asymptotically hyperbolic manifolds*, J. Geom. and Physics 43 (2002), 33-44
- [5] E. Delay, *Spectrum of the Lichnerowicz Laplacian on asymptotically hyperbolic surfaces*, arXiv:0802.3174v1 [math.DG], 2008
- [6] R. Hamilton, *the Ricci flow on surfaces*, Mathematics and general relativity (Santa Cruz, CA, 1986), 237–262 Contemp. Math. 71, Amer. Math. Soc., 1988
- [7] D. Knopf and A. Young, *Asymptotic Stability of the Cross Curvature flow at a Hyperbolic Metric*, arXiv:math/0609767v2 [math.DG], 2008
- [8] J.M. Lee, *Fredholm Operators and Einstein Metrics on Conformally Compact Manifolds*, arXiv:math/0105046 [math.DG], 2006

- [9] M. Feldman, T. Ilmanen and Lei Ni, *Entropy and Reduced Distance for Ricci Expanders*, arXiv:math/0405036v1 [math.DG], 2004
- [10] G. Perelman, *The entropy formula for the Ricci flow and its geometric applications*, arXiv:math.DG/0211159v1, 2002
- [11] V. Suneeta, *Investigating Off-shell Stability of Anti-de Sitter Space in String Theory*, arXiv:0806.1930v3[hep-th], 2008
- [12] R. Ye, *Ricci Flow, Einstein Metrics and Space Forms*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 338, no. 2 (1993), 871-896

Department of Mathematics, Lehigh University, Bethlehem PA, 18015
 Email: mez206@lehigh.edu